COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN





### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

April 21, 2016

2016 APR 22 A 10: 35

AZ CORP CONTROL

To: Docket Control

RE: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. - Customer Comments

Docket No. W-02168A-15-0369

Please docket the attached 8 customer comments OPPOSING the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in E-docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division - Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR 22 2016

DOCKETED BY

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/20/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130203 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/20/2016 1:59 PM

First Name: Vicki Last Name: Hoad Account Name: Vicki Hoad

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Work: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

Rick Neal Phone: << REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Neutral

It appears that in typing up the documents relating to the ATF Surcharge Rate the ACC Staff has confused "Valle Vista Property Owners Association" with "Valle Vista Customers". VVPOA IS a customer of TCWC, as are the residents who live in Valle Vista. Of the approximately 955 TCWC customers, approximately 809 of those live in Valle Vista. VVPOA is a SEPARATE customer. Schedule CSB-3 on page 1, Step 10 lists .... EXCEPT VALLE VISTA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION" & for the 3/4" meter it lists 915 customers. Approximately 809 of those are Valle Vista residents; there are not 915 PLUS 809 additional customers from Valle Vista. In the Staff Report, Utilities Division, ACC Application For Authority To Incur Long Term Debt dated January 7, 2016 on page 2 "SURCHARGE MECHANISM" reads that a 3/4" metered customer will pay \$2.02 as a surcharge rate. That is what the majority of Valle Vista residents have. In the third paragraph Staff states that "Valle Vista Customers on a 3/4" meter would be \$18.02". Valle Vista Property Owners Association does not have any water customers! They are TCWC customers! There have been some serious omissions and incorrect descriptions of "Valle Vista Customers". It is incredible that the Staff has mistaken the residents of Valle Vista as not only customers of TCWC, but customers of the Association as well. We can't be both and we do not buy water from VVPOA. Based on this mistaken identity, the ACC Staff has created an incorrect schedule for the Valle Vista residents. We should be paying the same approximate \$2.02 surcharge as other 3/4" metered customers. VVPOA has 5 meters which supply our park, pool, golf course and clubhouse with the largest user being our golf course. VVPOA agreed that 36.9 % of the cost of the ATF would be borne by VVPOA; this rate should not be applied to the residents who are on 3/4"meters. Please check the verbiage in all of the documents, as this mistake has been carried over from the Decision # 74835. The stated 6.2 percent of the cost is for the 4-6" meters that are used by the Association, NOT the residents of Valle Vista. If you refer to page 2 of the Schedule CSB-3 Step 13, the chart clearly shows the 5 meters that VVPOA has and Step 14 shows the appropriate rates for VVPOA, NOT Valle Vista residents. It is of great importance that this situation be brought to the attention of Staff and Commissioners immediately and corrected. There is no reason that Valle Vista residents should be penalized for something they are not using, and since we are 809 customers of the entire 955 TCWC customers this situation needs to be remedied immediately. Al Amezcua ( as reported by TWCW staff) provided the first (albeit very premature) surcharge rate calculations to TCWC, using the misidentified information provided in the Staff Report and Decision #74835. Knowing that the loan had not even been signed, this rate was not only incorrect, it had not been approved by the ACC per your own documents and decisions. The last paragraph of the Surcharge Mechanism on page 2 of the Staff Report states" Once the Company (TCWC) has closed on the loan, it would submit an application in this docket requesting implementation of the surcharge. Staff would then calculate the appropriate surcharge and prepare and file a recommended order for Commission consideration". It is my understanding that the earliest that this would be considered is at their May 3 Open Meeting. That would mean that the surcharge should not even be

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

applied until June 2016!!!!!! Not March! I would appreciate hearing from Staff regarding this very important matter as quickly as possible. Thank you, Respectfully, Vicki Hoag

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

4/20/2016

Jenny Gomez

Telephone

Investigation

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/20/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130204 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/20/2016 3:21 PM

First Name: George Last Name: Alvarez Account Name: George Alvarez

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

In regards to the Truxton Canyon Water Company proposed surcharge I am totally against a surcharge of any kind or amount seeing that in the 3 years of house/property ownership I have been supplied NOT water that was arsenic free or at least BELOW the government allowed levels BUT water unacceptable to not only drink but watering vegetables that we grow and eat and washing and cooking of same. I in addition have had to at my expense buy, install and change out every 6 months 8 cartridges a R&O system designed for the sole purpose of eliminating to an acceptable level ALL heavy metals including ARSENIC which Truxton Canyon Water Company had been supplying and charging as if it were safe drinking water. I again am against any surcharge that they want to impose on Valle Vista residents with their track record (6 yrs.+) of dragging their feet of doing what was supposed to be done all along, that being supplying us with clean, drinkable water that is NOT contaminated or harmful to us. George & Jolliet Alvarez.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

4/20/2016 Jenny Gomez Telephone Investigation

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/20/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130206 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/20/2016 3:29 PM

First Name: Dorothy Last Name: Schmidt Account Name: Dorothy Schmidt

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

We, the residents of Valle Vista Subdivision, are being placed in a no win situation by the Corporation Commission. We are to be charged \$18.00 more per month by the Water company, serving out area. The majority of the people living in this subdivision are retired. Most are living a modest existence, on our retirement benefits. Why do we have to be expected to pay most of the tab for the water treatment expense. We believe the cost should be the same for all the water users. We are being hit from all sides. The increase on our water, the increased cost of electric and the dust caused by the two so-called farms near our homes. HELP!

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

4/20/2016 Jenny Gomez Telephone Investigation

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/20/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130209 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/20/2016 4:26 PM

First Name: James Last Name: Hubbard Account Name: James Hubbard

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

I see no reason for the public to pay for a companies problems. I was told I take care of from the meter on and the company took care from the meter back. Don't make me pay for something that should have been taken care of years ago.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

4/20/2016 Jenny Gomez Telephone Investigation

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/21/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130212 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/21/2016 8:01 AM

First Name: Linda Last Name: Lara Account Name: Linda Lara

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

The docket description is "In the matter of the application of Truxton Canyon Water Company to incur long term debt: I approve of our area getting water filtration system to rid us of Arsenic in our water. However, due to the fact the Truxton Canyon Water Company has been supplying us with inferior water for years, while we have been paying our water bills, I think they should shoulder the cost of paying back the loan without a surcharge to the customers.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

4/21/2016 Jenny Gomez Telephone Investigation

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/21/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130213 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/21/2016 8:05 AM

First Name: Rhonda Last Name: Johnson Account Name: Rhonda Johnson

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

I have been a resident of Valle Vista for 12.5 years. Tuxton Canyon Water Co. has been told repeatedly to maintain the wells, pumps and storage tanks. They never have. They have, instead, operated by the fix or repair daily code of ethics. The water pressure fluxuates wildly and combined with the heavy mineral content in the water that is extremely hard on appliances. I've had 2 washing machines in the time I've lived here, 3 if you count the one I moved in with. Their customer service is lacking any modicum of civility, especially if there's a break in a pipe somewhere. I've always been treated like it was my fault somehow. Now, to put money in the hands of these people is just crazy! They certainly haven't been judicious in running this business. Now, for us to pay for their lack of proper maintainence and sufficient buisness sense is preposterous.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

4/21/2016 Jenny Gomez Telephone Investigation

### **Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Jenny Gomez

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Opinion Date: 4/21/2016** 

**Opinion Number: 2016 - 130214** 

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

**Opinion Codes:** 

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 4/21/2016 8:08 AM

First Name: JULIA

Last Name: RETZLAFF

Account Name: JULIA RETZLAFF

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: KINGMAN

State: AZ

Zip Code: 86401

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>>

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>>

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc

Division: Water

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369

**Docket Position:** Against

I don't feel we should have to pay a surcharge for a repair that should have been fixed years ago instead of allowing this to go on. If we are charged a surcharge it should not be any more than about \$2.00 a month

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

4/21/2016

Jenny Gomez

Telephone

Investigation

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 4/21/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130216 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 4/21/2016 8:13 AM

First Name: James Last Name: Murawski Account Name: James Murawski

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

My wife and I are retired on a fixed income with the additional issue with assisting our disabled daughter who lives with us. This additional charge, would put undo stress on our household. Is it not the water companies responsibility to fund this loan as they will benefit the most from its operation.

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

4/21/2016 Jenny Gomez Telephone Investigation